

Fractional Helly theorem for the diameter of convex sets

Silouanos Brazitikos

Abstract

We provide a new quantitative version of Helly's theorem: there exists an absolute constant $\alpha > 1$ with the following property: if $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ is a finite family of convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{int}(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i) \neq \emptyset$, then there exist $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $s \leq \alpha n$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$ such that

$$z + P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s} \subseteq cn^{3/2} \left(z + \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right),$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant. This directly gives a version of the “quantitative” diameter theorem of Bárány, Katchalski and Pach, with a polynomial dependence on the dimension. In the symmetric case the bound $O(n^{3/2})$ can be improved to $O(\sqrt{n})$.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this work is to present a new quantitative versions of Helly's theorem; recall that the classical result asserts that if $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i : i \in I\}$ is a finite family of at least $n + 1$ convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and if any $n + 1$ members of $\mathcal{F}$ have non-empty intersection then $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i \neq \emptyset$. Variants of this statement have found important applications in discrete and computational geometry. Quantitative Helly-type results were first obtained by Bárány, Katchalski and Pach in [3] (see also [4]). In particular, they proved the following volumetric result:

Let $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of closed convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i| > 0$. There exist $s \leq 2n$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad |P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s}| \leq C_n \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right|,$$

where $C_n > 0$ is a constant depending only on n .

The example of the cube $[-1, 1]^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, expressed as an intersection of exactly $2n$ closed half-spaces, shows that one cannot replace $2n$ by $2n - 1$ in the statement above. The optimal growth of the constant C_n as a function of n is not completely understood. The bound in [3] was $O(n^{2n^2})$ and it was conjectured that one might actually have $C_n \leq n^{cn}$ for an absolute constant $c > 0$. Naszódi [12] has recently proved a volume version of Helly's theorem with $C_n \leq (cn)^{2n}$, where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant. In fact, a slight modification of Naszódi's argument leads to the exponent $\frac{3n}{2}$ instead of $2n$. In [7], relaxing the requirement that $s \leq 2n$ to the weaker one that $s = O(n)$, we were able to improve the exponent to n :

Theorem 1.1 (Brazitikos). *There exists an absolute constant $\alpha > 1$ with the following property: for every family $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ of closed convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$, such that $P = \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i$ has positive volume, there exist $s \leq \alpha n$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$ such that*

$$(1.2) \quad |P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s}| \leq (cn)^n |P|,$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Following the terminology of [11], a Helly-type property is (loosely speaking) a property Π for which there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ is a finite family of objects such that every subfamily with m elements satisfies Π , then the whole family satisfies Π . Thus, the previous results (in particular, Theorem 1.1) express the fact that the property that “an intersection has large volume” is a Helly-type property for the class of convex sets.

Bárány, Katchalski and Pach studied the question if the property that “an intersection has large diameter” is also a Helly-type property for the class of convex sets. In [3] they gave a first quantitative answer to this question:

Let $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of closed convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\text{diam}(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i) = 1$. There exist $s \leq 2n$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \text{diam}(P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s}) \leq (cn)^{n/2},$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.

In the same work the authors conjecture that the bound should be polynomial in n ; in fact they ask if $(cn)^{n/2}$ can be replaced by $c\sqrt{n}$. Relaxing the requirement that $s \leq 2n$, exactly as in [7], we provide a positive answer, although we are not able to achieve a bound of the order of $\sqrt{n}$.

Starting with the symmetric case, our main result is the next theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ be a finite family of symmetric convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{int}(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i) \neq \emptyset$. For every $d > 1$ there exist $s \leq dn$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$ such that*

$$(1.4) \quad P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s} \subseteq \gamma_d \sqrt{n} \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right),$$

where $\gamma_d := \frac{\sqrt{d+1}}{\sqrt{d-1}}$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is presented in Section 3; it is based on a lemma of Barvinok from [5] which, in turn, exploits a theorem of Batson, Spielman and Srivastava from [6]. It is clear that the $\sqrt{n}$ -dependence cannot be improved (we provide a simple example).

In the general (not necessarily symmetric) case, using a similar strategy and ideas that were developed in [7] and employ a more delicate theorem of Srivastava from [14], we obtain the next estimate.

Theorem 1.3. *There exists an absolute constant $\alpha > 1$ with the following property: if $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ is a finite family of convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{int}(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i) \neq \emptyset$, then there exist $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $s \leq \alpha n$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$ such that*

$$(1.5) \quad z + P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s} \subseteq cn^{3/2} \left(z + \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right),$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.

It is clear that Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 imply polynomial estimates for the diameter:

Theorem 1.4. (a) *Let $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ be a finite family of symmetric convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{diam}(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i) = 1$. For every $d > 1$ there exist $s \leq dn$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$ such that*

$$(1.6) \quad \text{diam}(P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s}) \leq \gamma_d \sqrt{n},$$

where $\gamma_d := \frac{\sqrt{d+1}}{\sqrt{d-1}}$.

(b) *There exists an absolute constant $\alpha > 1$ with the following property: if $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ is a finite family of convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{diam}(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i) = 1$, then there exist $s \leq \alpha n$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$ such that*

$$(1.7) \quad \text{diam}(P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s}) \leq cn^{3/2},$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.

2 Notation and background

We work in $\mathbb{R}^n$, which is equipped with a Euclidean structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We denote by $\| \cdot \|_2$ the corresponding Euclidean norm, and write B_2^n for the Euclidean unit ball and S^{n-1} for the unit sphere. Volume is denoted by $|\cdot|$. We write ω_n for the volume of B_2^n and σ for the rotationally invariant probability measure on S^{n-1} . We will denote by P_F the orthogonal projection from $\mathbb{R}^n$ onto F . We also define $B_F = B_2^n \cap F$ and $S_F = S^{n-1} \cap F$.

The letters c, c', c_1, c_2 etc. denote absolute positive constants which may change from line to line. Whenever we write $a \simeq b$, we mean that there exist absolute constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1 a \leq b \leq c_2 a$. Also, if $K, L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we will write $K \simeq L$ if there exist absolute constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1 K \subseteq L \subseteq c_2 K$.

We refer to the book of Schneider [13] for basic facts from the Brunn-Minkowski theory and to the book of Artstein-Avidan, Giannopoulos and V. Milman [1] for basic facts from asymptotic convex geometry.

A convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a compact convex subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with non-empty interior. We say that K is symmetric if $x \in K$ implies that $-x \in K$, and that K is centered if its barycenter

$$(2.1) \quad \text{bar}(K) = \frac{1}{|K|} \int_K x \, dx$$

is at the origin. The circumradius of K is the radius of the smallest ball which is centered at the origin and contains K :

$$(2.2) \quad R(K) = \max\{\|x\|_2 : x \in K\}.$$

If $0 \in \text{int}(K)$ then the polar body K° of K is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad K^\circ := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in K\}.$$

and the Minkowski functional of K is defined by

$$(2.4) \quad p_K(x) = \min\{t \geq 0 : x \in tK\}.$$

Recall that p_K is subadditive and positively homogeneous.

We say that a convex body K is in John's position if the ellipsoid of maximal volume inscribed in K is the Euclidean unit ball B_2^n . John's theorem [10] states that K is in John's position if and only if $B_2^n \subseteq K$ and there exist $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \text{bd}(K) \cap S^{n-1}$ (contact points of K and B_2^n) and positive real numbers $a_1, \dots, a_m$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m a_j v_j = 0$$

and the identity operator I_n is decomposed in the form

$$(2.6) \quad I_n = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j v_j \otimes v_j,$$

where $(v_j \otimes v_j)(y) = \langle v_j, y \rangle v_j$. In the case where K is symmetric, the second condition (2.6) is enough (for any contact point u we have that $-u$ is also a contact point, and hence, having (2.6) we may easily produce a decomposition for which (2.5) is also satisfied). In analogy to John's position, we say that a convex body K is in Löwner's position if the ellipsoid of minimal volume containing K is the Euclidean unit ball B_2^n . One can check that this holds true if and only if K° is in John position; in particular, we have a decomposition of the identity similar to (2.6).

Assume that $v_1, \dots, v_m$ are unit vectors that satisfy John's decomposition (2.6) with some positive weights a_j . Then, one has the useful identities

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m a_j = \text{tr}(I_n) = n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \langle v_j, z \rangle^2 = 1$$

for all $z \in S^{n-1}$. Moreover,

$$(2.8) \quad \text{conv}\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \supseteq \frac{1}{n} B_2^n.$$

In the symmetric case we actually have

$$(2.9) \quad \text{conv}\{\pm v_1, \dots, \pm v_m\} \supseteq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} B_2^n.$$

3 Symmetric case

Our main tool for the symmetric case is a lemma of Barvinok from [5], which exploits the next theorem of Batson, Spielman and Srivastava [6] on extracting an approximate John's decomposition with few vectors from a John's decomposition of the identity.

Theorem 3.1 (Batson-Spielman-Srivastava). *Let $v_1, \dots, v_m \in S^{n-1}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_m > 0$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad I_n = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j v_j \otimes v_j.$$

Then, for every $d > 1$ there exists a subset $\sigma \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $|\sigma| \leq dn$ and $b_j > 0$, $j \in \sigma$, such that

$$(3.2) \quad I_n \preceq \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j a_j v_j \otimes v_j \preceq \gamma_d^2 I_n,$$

where $\gamma_d := \frac{\sqrt{d+1}}{\sqrt{d-1}}$.

Here, given two symmetric positive definite matrices A and B we write $A \preceq B$ if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \leq \langle Bx, x \rangle$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Barvinok's lemma is the next statement.

Lemma 3.2 (Barvinok). *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact set. Then, there exists a subset $X \subseteq C$ of cardinality $\text{card}(X) \leq dn$ such that for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$(3.3) \quad \max_{x \in X} |\langle z, x \rangle| \leq \max_{x \in C} |\langle z, x \rangle| \leq \gamma_d \sqrt{n} \max_{x \in X} |\langle z, x \rangle|$$

Proof. We sketch the proof for completeness. We may assume that C spans $\mathbb{R}^n$ and, by the linear invariance of the statement, that B_2^n is the origin symmetric ellipsoid of minimal volume containing C . Then, there exist $v_1, \dots, v_m \in C \cap S^{n-1}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_m > 0$ such that (3.1) is satisfied. Then, applying Theorem 3.1 we may find a subset $\sigma \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $\text{card}(\sigma) \leq dn$ and $b_j > 0$, $j \in \sigma$, such that (3.2) holds true. In particular,

$$(3.4) \quad n \leq \sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j b_j = \text{tr} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j a_j v_j \otimes v_j \right) \leq \gamma_d^2 n.$$

Given $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, from (3.2) and (3.4) we have

$$(3.5) \quad \|z\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j a_j \langle z, v_j \rangle^2 \leq \gamma_d^2 n \max_{j \in \sigma} |\langle z, v_j \rangle|^2,$$

and using the fact that $C \subseteq B_2^n$ we conclude that

$$(3.6) \quad \max_{x \in C} |\langle z, x \rangle| \leq \|z\| \leq \gamma_d \sqrt{n} \max_{j \in \sigma} |\langle z, v_j \rangle|.$$

Setting $X = \{v_j : j \in \sigma\}$ we conclude the proof. □

Using Barvinok's lemma we can prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $P = \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i$ and consider its polar body

$$(3.7) \quad P^\circ = \text{conv} \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i^\circ \right).$$

Using Lemma 3.2 for $C = P^\circ$ we may find $X = \{v_1, \dots, v_s\} \subset P^\circ$ with $\text{card}(X) = s \leq dn$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad \max_{x \in P^\circ} |\langle z, x \rangle| \leq \gamma_d \sqrt{n} \max_{x \in X} |\langle z, x \rangle|$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It follows that

$$(3.9) \quad P^\circ \subseteq \gamma_d \sqrt{n} \text{conv}(\{\pm v_1, \dots, \pm v_s\}).$$

From the proof of Lemma 3.2 we see that $v_1, \dots, v_s$ may be chosen to be contact points of P° with its minimal volume ellipsoid, and hence it is simple to check that we actually have $v_j \in \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i^\circ$ for all $j = 1, \dots, s$. In other words, we may find $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$ such that $v_j \in P_{i_j}^\circ$, $j = 1, \dots, s$. Then, (3.9) implies that

$$(3.10) \quad P^\circ \subseteq \gamma_d \sqrt{n} \text{conv}(P_{i_1}^\circ \cup \dots \cup P_{i_s}^\circ),$$

and passing to the polar bodies, we get

$$(3.11) \quad P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s} \subseteq \gamma_d \sqrt{n} P$$

as claimed. $\square$

Remark 3.3. Theorem 1.2 is sharp in the following sense: we can find $w_1, \dots, w_N \in S^{n-1}$ (assuming that N is exponential in the dimension n) such that

$$(3.12) \quad B_2^n \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^N P_j \subseteq 2B_2^n,$$

where

$$(3.13) \quad P_j = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\langle x, w_j \rangle| \leq 1\}.$$

For any $s \leq dn$ and any choice of $j_1, \dots, j_s \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, well-known lower bounds for the volume of intersections of strips, due to Carl-Pajor [8], Gluskin [9] and Ball-Pajor [2] show that

$$(3.14) \quad |P_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{j_s}|^{1/n} \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{e} \sqrt{\log(1+d)}}.$$

Therefore, if $P_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{j_s} \subseteq \alpha \bigcap_{j=1}^N P_j$ for some $\alpha > 0$, comparing volumes we see that

$$(3.15) \quad \alpha \geq \frac{|P_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{j_s}|^{1/n}}{|2B_2^n|^{1/n}} \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log(1+d)}} \sqrt{n},$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.

4 General case

In order to deal with the not-necessarily symmetric case we use the next theorem of Srivastava from [14].

Theorem 4.1 (Srivastava). *Let $v_1, \dots, v_m \in S^{n-1}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_m > 0$ such that*

$$(4.1) \quad I_n = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j v_j \otimes v_j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^m a_j v_j = 0.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find a subset σ of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ of cardinality $|\sigma| = O_\varepsilon(n)$, positive scalars b_i , $i \in \sigma$ and a vector v with

$$(4.2) \quad \|v\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sum_{i \in \sigma} b_i},$$

such that

$$(4.3) \quad I_n \preceq \sum_{i \in \sigma} b_i (v_i + v) \otimes (v_i + v) \preceq (4 + \varepsilon) I_n$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{i \in \sigma} b_i (v_i + v) = 0.$$

Proposition 4.2. *There exists an absolute constant $\alpha > 1$ with the following property: if K is a convex body whose minimal volume ellipsoid is the Euclidean unit ball, then there is a subset $X \subset K$ of cardinality $\text{card}(X) \leq \alpha n$ such that*

$$(4.5) \quad B_2^n \subseteq cn^{3/2} \text{conv}(X),$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2 we assume that B_2^n is the minimal volume ellipsoid of K , and we find $v_j \in K \cap S^{n-1}$ and $a_j > 0$, $j \in J$, such that

$$(4.6) \quad I_n = \sum_{j \in J} a_j v_j \otimes v_j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \in J} a_j v_j = 0.$$

We fix $\varepsilon > 0$, which will be chosen small enough, and we apply Theorem 4.1 to find a subset $\sigma \subseteq J$ with $|\sigma| \leq \alpha_1(\varepsilon)n$, positive scalars b_j , $j \in \sigma$ and a vector v such that

$$(4.7) \quad I_n \preceq \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j (v_j + v) \otimes (v_j + v) \preceq (4 + \varepsilon) I_n$$

and

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j (v_j + v) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j}.$$

Note that

$$(4.9) \quad \text{tr} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j (v_j + v) \otimes (v_j + v) \right) = \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j - \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j \right) \|v\|_2^2$$

and hence from (4.7) we get that

$$n \leq \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j - \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j \right) \|v\|_2^2 \leq (4 + \varepsilon)n.$$

Now, using (4.8) we get

$$(4.10) \quad n \leq \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j \leq (4 + 2\varepsilon)n.$$

In particular,

$$(4.11) \quad \|v\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n}.$$

From John's theorem we know that $\text{conv}\{v_j, j \in J\} \supseteq \frac{1}{n}B_2^n$. Then, for the vector $w = \frac{v}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}n}$ we have $\|w\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{n}$ and hence $w \in \text{conv}\{v_j, j \in J\}$. Carathéodory's theorem shows that there exist $\tau \subseteq J$ with $|\tau| \leq n + 1$ and $\rho_i > 0$, $i \in \tau$ such that

$$(4.12) \quad w = \sum_{i \in \tau} \rho_i v_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in \tau} \rho_i = 1.$$

Note that

$$(4.13) \quad \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j \right) (-v) = \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j v_j,$$

and this shows that $-v \in \text{conv}\{v_j : j \in \sigma\}$.

We write

$$(4.14) \quad I_n - T \preceq \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j v_j \otimes v_j \preceq (4 + 2\varepsilon)I_n - T,$$

where

$$(4.15) \quad T := \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j v_j \otimes v + \sum_{j \in \sigma} v \otimes b_j v_j + \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j \right) v \otimes v.$$

Taking into account (4.13) we check that, for every $x \in S^{n-1}$,

$$(4.16) \quad |\langle Tx, x \rangle| = \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j \right) \langle x, v \rangle^2 \leq \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j \right) \|v\|_2^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = 1/2$ we see that $\|T\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and this finally gives

$$(4.17) \quad \frac{1}{2}I_n \preceq A := \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j v_j \otimes v_j \preceq \frac{11}{2}I_n.$$

We are now able to show that

$$(4.18) \quad K := \text{conv}(\{v_j : j \in \sigma \cup \tau\}) \supseteq \frac{c}{n^{3/2}}B_2^n.$$

Let $x \in S^{n-1}$. We set $\delta = \min\{\langle x, v_j \rangle : j \in \sigma\}$; note that $|\delta| \leq 1$ and $\langle x, v_j \rangle - \delta \leq 2$ for all $j \in \sigma$. If $\delta < 0$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
p_K(Ax) &\leq p_K\left(Ax - \delta \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j v_j\right) + p_K\left(\delta \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j v_j\right) \\
&= p_K\left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j (\langle x, v_j \rangle - \delta) v_j\right) + p_K\left(\delta \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j\right) (-v)\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j (\langle x, v_j \rangle - \delta) p_K(v_j) - \delta \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j\right) p_K(v) \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j\right) \left[2 + \sqrt{n/2} p_K(w)\right] \\
&\leq c_1 n^{3/2},
\end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $w \in K$, and hence $p_K(w) \leq 1$. If $\delta \geq 0$ then $\langle x, v_j \rangle \geq 0$ for all $j \in \sigma$, therefore

$$(4.19) \quad p_K(Ax) = p_K\left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j \langle x, v_j \rangle v_j\right) \leq \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j \langle x, v_j \rangle p_K(v_j) \leq \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j \leq 5n$$

In any case,

$$(4.20) \quad p_{A^{-1}(K)}(x) \leq c_2 n^{3/2}$$

for all $x \in S^{n-1}$, where $c_2 > 0$ is an absolute constant. Together with (4.17) this shows that

$$(4.21) \quad \frac{1}{2} B_2^n \subseteq A(B_2^n) \subseteq c_2 n^{3/2} K.$$

Since $\text{card}(\sigma \cup \tau) \leq \alpha_1(1/2)n + n + 1 \leq (\alpha_1(1/2) + 2)n$, the proof is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $P = \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i$. We may assume that $0 \in \text{int}(P)$ and that the polar body

$$(4.22) \quad P^\circ = \text{conv}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i^\circ\right)$$

is in Löwner's position. Using Proposition 4.2 for $C = P^\circ$ we may find $X = \{v_1, \dots, v_s\} \subset P^\circ$ with $\text{card}(X) = s \leq \alpha n$ such that

$$(4.23) \quad P^\circ \subseteq cn^{3/2} \text{conv}(\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}),$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant. From the proof of Proposition 4.2 we see that $v_1, \dots, v_s$ may be chosen to be contact points of P° with its minimal volume ellipsoid, and hence it is simple to check that we actually have $v_j \in \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i^\circ$ for all $j = 1, \dots, s$. In other words, we may find $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$ such that $v_j \in P_{i_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, s$. Then, (4.23) implies that

$$(4.24) \quad P^\circ \subseteq cn^{3/2} \text{conv}(P_{i_1}^\circ \cup \dots \cup P_{i_s}^\circ),$$

and passing to the polar bodies, we get

$$(4.25) \quad P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s} \subseteq cn^{3/2} P$$

as claimed. $\square$

Remark 4.3. In [3] it is proved that if $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ is a finite family of convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{diam}(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i) = 1$, then there exist $s \leq n(n+1)$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$ such that

$$(4.26) \quad \text{diam}(P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s}) \leq \sqrt{2n(n+1)}.$$

Then, a scheme is described which allows one to further reduce the number of the bodies P_{i_j} and keep some control on the diameter. The lemma which allows this reduction states the following: Let $m > 2n$ and $P_1, \dots, P_m$ be convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $0 \in P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_m$. If the circumradius of $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_m$ is equal to 1 then we can find $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that the circumradius of $\bigcap_{i=1, i \neq j}^m P_i$ is at most $\frac{m}{m-2d}$. Starting with Theorem 1.3 and using the same lemma, for any finite family $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ of convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{diam}(\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i) = 1$ we first find $s \leq \alpha n$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$ such that

$$(4.27) \quad \text{diam}(P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s}) \leq c_1 n^{3/2},$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant, and then we can keep $2n$ of the P_{i_j} 's so that the diameter of their intersection is bounded by

$$(4.28) \quad c_1 n^{3/2} \prod_{m=2n+1}^s \frac{m}{m-2n} = c n^{3/2} \binom{s}{2n} \leq c n^{3/2} \left(\frac{e\alpha}{2}\right)^{2n} \leq c_2^n,$$

where $c_2 > 0$ is an absolute constant. This improves the estimate from [3] (for the original question studied there) but it is still exponential in the dimension.

Acknowledgement. I acknowledge founding support from Onassis Foundation. I would like to thank Apostolos Giannopoulos for useful discussions.

References

- [1] S. Artstein-Avidan, A. Giannopoulos and V. D. Milman, *Asymptotic Geometric Analysis, Part I*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **202**, Amer. Math. Soc. (2015).
- [2] K. M. Ball and A. Pajor, *Convex bodies with few faces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), no. 1, 225-231.
- [3] I. Bárány, M. Katchalski and J. Pach, *Quantitative Helly-type theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982), 109-114.
- [4] I. Bárány, M. Katchalski and J. Pach, *Helly's theorem with volumes*, Amer. Math. Monthly **91** (1984), 362-365.
- [5] A. Barvinok, *Thrifty approximations of convex bodies by polytopes*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2014**, no. 16, 4341-4356.
- [6] J. Batson, D. Spielman and N. Srivastava, *Twice-Ramanujan Sparsifiers*, STOC' 2009: Proceedings of the 41st annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (ACM, New York, 2009), pp. 255-262.
- [7] S. Brazitikos, *Brascamp-Lieb inequality and quantitative versions of Helly's theorem*, Preprint.
- [8] B. Carl and A. Pajor, *Gelfand numbers of operators with values in a Hilbert space*, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), 479-504.
- [9] E. D. Gluskin, *Extremal properties of orthogonal parallelepipeds and their applications to the geometry of Banach spaces*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **136** (1988), 85-96.
- [10] F. John, *Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions*, Courant Anniversary Volume, Interscience, New York (1948), 187-204.
- [11] J. A. De Loera, R. N. La Haye, D. Rolnick and P. Soberón, *Quantitative Tverberg, Helly and Carathéodory theorems*, Preprint.

- [12] M. Naszódi, *Proof of a conjecture of Bárány, Katchalski and Pach*, Preprint.
- [13] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Second expanded edition. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [14] N. Srivastava, *On contact points of convex bodies*, in Geom. Aspects of Funct. Analysis, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **2050** (2012), 393-412.

Keywords: Convex bodies, Helly's theorem, approximate John's decomposition, polytopal approximation.

2010 MSC: Primary 52A23; Secondary 52A35, 46B06.

SILOUANOS BRAZITIKOS: Department of Mathematics, University of Athens, Panepistimioupolis 157-84, Athens, Greece.

E-mail: `silouanb@math.uoa.gr`